Manuka honey does not decrease pain of radiation-induced esophagitis for lung cancer patients

San Francisco, September 14, 2014—Patient-reported data indicates that when Manuka honey is prescribed for esophagitis pain during radiation therapy (RT), it is not more effective than standard medical care, according to research presented today at the American Society for Radiation Oncology’s (ASTRO’s) 56th Annual Meeting.

Esophagitis, inflammation that damages tissues of the esophagus and causes discomfort, is a common and temporary side effect experienced by the majority of lung cancer patients undergoing RT. Small studies have previously been conducted to evaluate if honey can prevent the loss of the normal surface of the mouth or throat caused by RT. It is important to reduce esophagitis pain so that patients’ do not forgo eating; maintaining patients’ positive nutritional status is vital during cancer treatment.

This study assessed the use of Manuka honey, a honey from New Zealand that is a standardized, medical grade honey. The randomized, phase II trial enrolled 163 lung cancer patients at 13 cancer centers who were undergoing concurrent chemotherapy and RT. Of the study group, ≥30 percent of the patients had received 60 Gy of RT to the esophagus (V60). There were no statistically significant differences in pretreatment characteristics within the study group.

Patients were assigned to three groups based upon treatment for esophagitis—56 patients in Arm 1
received standard supportive care; 53 patients in Arm 2 received 10 ml of Manuka honey orally, four times per day; and 54 patients in Arm 3 received one lozenge, consisting of 10 ml of dehydrated Manuka honey, four times per day. The honey was administered on the first day of treatment and continued throughout RT.

After four weeks of RT treatment with and without Manuka honey, patients were asked to assess their pain during swallowing using the Numerical Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) scale, with a zero indicating “no pain,” a five indicating “moderate pain,” and a 10 indicating “worst possible pain.” The study was designed to detect a 15 percent relative reduction of change in NPRS score, corresponding to a mean change score of 3.1 in Arms 2 and 3, as compared with Arm 1. The study concluded that there was no significant difference in levels of pain reported by patients within the three groups (mean change scores of 2.7, 2.1 and 2.1, respectively; p=0.73 for Arm 1 vs. Arm 2, p=0.68 Arm 1 vs. Arm 3).

Additionally, researchers evaluated secondary endpoints, such as the trend of the patients’ pain over time, opioid use, adverse events, weight loss, quality of life, dysphagia and nutritional status. There were no differences in any of the secondary endpoints.

“The results from our study were somewhat unexpected since three previous trials had indicated that honey worked, and reducing esophagitis is important so that patients can continue eating their normal diet,” said lead study author Lawrence Berk, MD, chief of radiation oncology, Morsani School of Medicine at the University of South Florida, Tampa. “A larger trial was just completed in Canada for a similar problem—mouth and throat pain during head and neck RT—and that trial also found no benefit with the honey. Both the Canadian study and our trial used Manuka honey, whereas previous trials all used a local honey. This is often a problem in using natural products—each batch or type of product may be different, so it is hard to reproduce the effects seen by others. Currently, honey cannot be recommended for every patient to use for esophagitis pain relief. However, it is safe and inexpensive, so if patients want to try it, there is probably little harm. Patients with diabetes should be cautious with honey because it does have a high sugar load.”

The abstract, “Randomized Phase II Trial of Best Supportive Care, Manuka Honey Liquid and Manuka Honey Lozenges for Prevention of Radiation Esophagitis During Chemotherapy and Radiotherapy for Lung Cancer,” will be presented in detail during a scientific session at ASTRO’s 56th Annual Meeting at 3:15 p.m. Pacific time on Sunday, September 14, 2014. To speak with Dr. Berk, please call Michelle Kirkwood on September 14 – 17, 2014, in the ASTRO Press Office at San Francisco’s Moscone Center at 415-978-3503 or 415-978-3504, or email michellek@astro.org.
ASTRO’s 56th Annual Meeting, to be held at the Moscone Center in San Francisco, September 14-17, 2014, is the nation’s premier scientific meeting in radiation oncology. The 2014 Annual Meeting is expected to attract more than 11,000 attendees including oncologists from all disciplines, medical physicists, dosimetrists, radiation therapists, radiation oncology nurses and nurse practitioners, biologists, physician assistants, practice administrators, industry representatives and other health care professionals from around the world. Led by ASTRO President Bruce G. Haffty, MD, FASTRO, a radiation oncologist specializing in breast cancer, the theme of the 2014 Meeting is “Targeting Cancer: Technology and Biology,” and the Presidential Symposium, “Local-regional Management of Breast Cancer: A Changing Paradigm,” will feature Jay R. Harris, MD, FASTRO, and Thomas A. Buchholz, MD, FASTRO, to highlight recent practice-changing, landmark studies and current developments in the local-regional management of breast cancer. ASTRO’s four-day scientific meeting includes presentation of up to four plenary papers, 360 oral presentations, 1,862 posters and 144 digital posters in more than 50 educational sessions and scientific panels for 20 disease-site tracks. Three keynote speakers will address a range of topics including oncologic imaging, biology and targeting in oncology, and human error and safety concerns: Hedvig Hricak, MD, PhD, Chair of the Department of Radiology and the Carroll and Milton Petrie Chair at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; Frank McCormick, PhD, FRS, DSc (hon), Professor Emeritus and the David A. Wood Distinguished Professor of Tumor Biology and Cancer Research of the University of California at San Francisco Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center; and Sidney Dekker, PhD, MA, MSc, Professor and Director of the Safety Science Innovation Lab at Griffith University, Brisbane, Australia.
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**Purpose/Objective(s):** Several small randomized trials showed that honey is effective for the prevention and treatment of radiation mucositis. There is currently no proven method of preventing radiation esophagitis. Therefore a standardized, medical grade honey, Manuka Honey from New Zealand, was chosen as a potential treatment for radiation esophagitis. It is also not known if honey is active through an intrinsic property of the honey or from its osmolality. This trial compared liquid honey, honey lozenges made by dehydrating the honey and standard supportive care.

**Materials/Methods:** Patients were stratified by V60 of the esophagus ≥ 30% then randomized between best supportive care (Arm 1), 10 ml of Manuka honey four times a day (Arm 2) or 1 lozenge (10 ml of dehydrated Manuka honey) four times a day (Arm 3) during concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Honey began on the first day of treatment and continued throughout radiotherapy. The primary endpoint was pain on swallowing on an eleven point (0-10) scale at 4 weeks (Numerical Pain Rating Scale, NPRS). The study was designed to detect a 15% relative reduction of change in NPRS score, corresponding to a mean change score of 3.1, in Arms 2 and 3 as compared with Arm 1. Using a t-test with a Bonferroni-adjusted type I error of 0.05, 135 patients were required to achieve 80% power. Secondary endpoints were the trend of the pain over time, opioid use, adverse events, weight loss, quality of life as measured by the PRO-CTCAE and EORTC QLQ-C30, dysphagia and nutritional status.

**Results:** 56 patients were randomized to Arm 1, 53 patients were randomized to Arm 2 and 54 patients to Arm 3. 4 cases were excluded from analysis. There were no statistically significant differences in pretreatment characteristics. Grade 3 or higher adverse events related to the protocol treatment were: Arm 1 - 0, Arm 2 - 11 and Arm 3 - 2. There was no significant difference in the primary endpoint of change the NPRS at 4 weeks in the arms (mean change scores of 2.7, 2.1 and 2.1, respectively, p=0.73 for Arm 1 vs. Arm 2, 0.68 Arm 1 vs. Arm 3). There were no differences in any of the secondary endpoints.

**Conclusions:** Manuka honey as prescribed within this protocol was not superior to best supportive care in preventing radiation esophagitis.