Advances in Radiation Oncology Strategies to Flatten the Curve during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Radiation Oncology: Experience from a Large Tertiary Cancer Center --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	ADVANCESRADONC-D-20-00117R1
Article Type:	Brief Opinion
Section/Category:	COVID-19
Corresponding Author:	Sonal S Noticewala, MD University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Division of Radiation Oncology Houston, Texas UNITED STATES
First Author:	Sonal S Noticewala, MD, MAS
Order of Authors:	Sonal S Noticewala, MD, MAS
	Albert C Koong, MD, PhD
	Elizabeth C Bloom, MD
	Seungtaek Choi, MD
	Gregory Chronowski, MD
	Robert A Ghafar, MBA
	B Ashleigh Guadagnolo, MD, MPH
	G. Brandon Gunn, MD
	Ann H Klopp, MD, PhD
	Percy Lee, MD
	Jing Li, MD, PhD
	Zhongxing Liao, MD
	Ethan B Ludmir, MD
	Mary Frances McAleer, MD, PhD
	Quynh-Nhu Nguyen, MD
	Ivy J. Robinson, RT
	Matthew S Ning, MD
	David I Rosenthal, MD
	Shalin J Shah, MD
	Wendy Woodward, MD, PhD
	Denise J Zaebst, MSN
	Bouthaina S Dabaja, MD
	Prajnan Das, MD, MS, MPH

Strategies to Flatten the Curve during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Radiation Oncology: Experience from a Large Tertiary Cancer Center

Sonal S. Noticewala MD, MAS, Albert C. Koong, MD, PhD, Elizabeth S. Bloom, MD, Seungtaek Choi,

MD, Gregory Chronowski, MD, Robert A. Ghafar, MBA, B. Ashleigh Guadagnolo, MD, MPH, G. Brandon

Gunn, MD, Ann Klopp, MD, PhD, Percy Lee, MD, Jing Li, MD, PhD, Zhongxing Liao, MD, Ethan B. Ludmir,

MD, Mary Frances McAleer, MD, PhD, Quynh-Nhu Nguyen, MD, Matthew S. Ning, MD, Ivy J. Robinson,

RT, David I. Rosenthal, MD, Shalin J. Shah, MD, Wendy A. Woodward, MD, PhD, Denise J. Zaebst, MSN,

Bouthaina S. Dabaja, MD* and Prajnan Das, MD, MS, MPH*.

* These authors contributed equally

Affiliation:

1. Division of Radiation Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center

Running Title: Strategies to Flatten the Curve in Radiation Oncology during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Corresponding Author:

Prajnan Das, MD, MS, MPH The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center 1515 Holcombe Boulevard Unit Number: 1422 Houston, TX 77030 Phone: (713) 563-2379 Fax: (713) 563-2366 Email: prajdas@mdanderson.org

Funding: No funding

Authorship: All authors contributed to the development of these institutional guidelines.

Word Count: 1965

1	Strategies to Flatten the Curve during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Radiation Oncology: Experience
2	from a Large Tertiary Cancer Center
3	
4 5	Word Count: 1975
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

30 Introduction:

31 In December 2019, severe respiratory cases detected in Wuhan, China were found to be 32 associated with the novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19). This outbreak quickly escalated into an 33 international healthcare emergency. The World Health Organization (WHO) declared the COVID-19 34 outbreak a global pandemic on March 11, 2020; the United States declared a national emergency on 35 March 13, 2020. A multi-institutional retrospective study¹ from Wuhan found that the 18 of 1590 COVID-36 19 patients with cancer, compared to non-cancer patients, were at higher risk for being admitted to the 37 intensive care unit, requiring ventilation, and death (39 vs 8%). Though this early report was limited by 38 small sample size, oncologists have found themselves weighing the urgency of treating cancer patients 39 against risk for exposure to COVID-19.

40 During this pandemic, minimizing exposure risk for cancer patients and healthcare personnel is of 41 utmost importance. Radiation therapy (RT) is an integral component of many patients' oncologic 42 treatment. RT typically involves daily sessions over several weeks with numerous exchanges at an 43 individual level between patients, physicians, nurses, radiation therapists (RTTs), and more. Each 44 weekday at our large tertiary cancer center, the Division of Radiation Oncology (RO) treats approximately 45 450 patients on 17 machines at our main campus, 105 patients on 5 machines at our proton center, and 46 175 patients on 9 machines at four regional locations. Given this volume, a well-coordinated approach 47 based on a factual communication and full engagement of personnel was and remains essential to 48 implement "social distancing" effectively during the COVID-19 pandemic to reduce exposure to patients 49 and staff.

50 On March 4, 2020, an interdisciplinary taskforce was formed within the Division of RO with the 51 goal of mitigating risk of exposure among patients and staff and optimizing resource utilization and 52 allocation. Disease-site specific section chiefs, center medical directors, quality officers, nursing, RTTs, 53 physicists, and administrative leadership meet daily via teleconference to develop policies based on facts 54 gathered from the institution, state, and official medical organizations, with final approval by the Division 55 Head. This critical information was disseminated to individual sections and groups through smaller daily 56 'team huddles.' Here, we present steps taken to date to flatten the curve at a tertiary cancer center 57 (Figure 1).

58

Reducing On-Treatment Patient Volume

59 An intentional plan was made to reduce the on-treatment patient volume (OTPV). The taskforce 60 felt that reducing OTPV would help the division operate in a sustainable manner, in anticipation of 61 potential staff shortages due to illness or quarantine. Also, decreasing OTPV would reduce exposure to 62 patients, RTTs, and clinic staff. The following steps were taken to reduce patient volume: Hypofractionation was encouraged when clinically appropriate, such as single fraction treatments 63 64 for palliation of bone metastases ^{2,3}, or short course RT in five fractions for preoperative treatment 65 of rectal cancer ^{4–8}. Each section developed disease-site specific evidence-based guidelines on 66 hypofractionation. 67 Patients with no immediate oncologic need for RT were deferred, after approval from a 68 multidisciplinary team. 69 • Patients were distributed between the main campus and the institution's regional locations when 70 feasible to standardize reduced treatment hours across all centers. and most acutely reduce 71 patient numbers at main hospital campus. 72 Out-of-state patients were encouraged to receive treatments locally when feasible. 73 To lower patient throughput, interval between simulation and RT start was set at a minimum of • 74 two weeks, with exceptions for clinically-urgent situations. The next available treatment start was 75 also limited by available time slots within established treatment hours. 76 Our efforts to decrease OTPV were complemented by similar efforts by other cancer specialties. The 77 OTPV was reduced by more than 25% over two weeks and was projected to decrease further in the 78 coming weeks (as of this writing) in anticipation of the expected local COVID-19 peak. 79 80 Social Distancing 81 Policies were established at the institutional and divisional levels to minimize patient-staff and 82 staff-staff interactions. These efforts were aided by a "stay home, work safe" order for the county issued 83 on March 24, 2020 and echoed by an order from the state Governor on March 31, 2020. Steps for social distancing included: 84

85 Visitors were prohibited from accompanying patients to clinic/RT areas. Multidisciplinary conferences and administrative meetings occurred through teleconferences.

In-person meetings of >5 people were prohibited and individuals were expected to be >6 feet apart
 for any person-to-person interactions.

- RTTs significantly reduced cross-coverage of treatment machines and a plan for rotating therapist
 coverage was implemented.
- 91 Work from home: Administrative and research staff currently work exclusively from home (as of this 92 writing). Physician, physicists, advanced practice providers, nurses, dosimetrists and patient 93 schedule coordinators work in the hospital only on certain weekdays. All site-specific services and 94 regional centers have developed a "doctor-of-the-day" model with 1-2 physicians providing all clinical 95 coverage. Trainees work from home, except when covering night/weekend call and brachytherapy 96 cases. As of April 3, 2020, of >1000 employees in the Division of RO, 49% work from home, 27% 97 work part-time at home and on-site, and 24% work full-time on-site. Technical support was provided 98 to enable work from home.

99 All bench research laboratories were closed at the institutional level

100 Patients and Workforce Safety

101 <u>Screening:</u> At an institutional level, entry points for patients and employees were separated. Before

102 entering the institution, patients and employees were required to attest that they do not have symptoms

associated with COVID-19, have their temperature taken, wear a mask, and clean hands.

104

Personal Protective Equipment (PPE): All employees and patients were required to wear a surgical mask at all times while on campus. Additionally, RTTs were required to wear goggles when at increased risk of particulate exposure from handling of head and neck immobilization devices. Implementing these approaches goes beyond the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines, but was felt to be necessary to protect patients and the workforce. Employees were required to wear additional PPE, such as gowns, gloves, respirator masks, and goggles, as needed based on institutional and CDC guidelines.

112

113 <u>Tracer Team</u>: Within the RO division, a tracer team was established. This team had daily virtual meetings 114 to monitor persons under investigation (PUI) for COVID-19, trace these patients' points of contact with 115 clinical staff, facilitate referrals to Employee Health, and execute guideline-based quarantine measures 116 when required.

117

<u>Out-of-State Patients</u>: At the institutional level, out-of-state patients were required to undergo a 14-day home quarantine prior to being seen or treated. These patients were instructed not to leave the state on weekends, as they might have to re-quarantine leading to RT interruption. Travel to XXX by air/land was allowed within the 14-day period. Exceptions to the 14-day quarantine requirement were allowed for oncologic emergencies.

123

124 Outpatient Clinics

A planned effort was made to reduce the volume of patients in clinics to protect patients and clinical stafffrom exposure.

127

128 <u>Consultations</u>: Consultations that did not require immediate input from RO were deferred for two months, 129 e.g., for a patient that would receive induction chemotherapy prior to planned RT initiation, or for disease 130 sites with data suggesting no harm in delay ⁹. Consultations were cancelled for patients for whom RT 131 would not be recommended based on multidisciplinary discussion. Patients that could be treated locally 132 were referred to local centers.

133

Follow-Ups: Follow-ups were rescheduled by 2-6 months, unless immediate evaluation was felt to be
 necessary for assessment of treatment response or toxicity. These appointments may occur in-person. In
 select cases, patients were asked to follow-up with their local oncologists.

137

<u>Weekly Sees</u>: Patient were seen for weekly see visits (on-treatment visits) via a telemedicine platform to
 limit provider-patient contact. In-person evaluations were conducted when clinically necessary. During
 weekly see visits, vital signs were collected only when requested by physicians, rather than routinely, in

order to reduce provider-patient contact time. Nursing education on symptom management was providedby phone in most cases.

143

Brachytherapy: The brachytherapy program was consolidated into two locations with gynecologic
 brachytherapy being performed in the operating room and prostate brachytherapy in the RO computed
 tomography (CT) suite. As of April 6th, 2020, all patients were tested for COVID-19 and confirmed to be
 negative prior to anesthesia.

148

149 Inpatient Consultations

150 RT is shown to be effective in treating painful bone metastases and several oncologic 151 emergencies such as cord compression ¹⁰, brain metastases^{11,12}, SVC syndrome/airway obstruction^{13,14}, 152 and bleeding^{15–17}. During the COVID-19 pandemic, a full understanding of prognosis and goals of RT 153 should be especially emphasized for inpatients evaluated for RT. For patients who require RT while 154 inpatient, a hypofractionated course of RT should strongly be considered given many abbreviated 155 regimens in the palliative setting have been found to be non-inferior to multifractionated courses. 156 The taskforce developed guidelines for management of inpatient consults, based on exposure 157 risk and need for treatment. For patients with known COVID-19 positive disease or PUI for COVID-19, 158 evaluation and treatment recommendations were based on review of medical records and imaging, 159 without conducting an in-person evaluation. Exceptions were considered for patients with rapidly 160 progressing, life-threatening conditions where RT had significant likelihood of benefit. In these cases, 161 there was both an expectation of rapid reversal of symptoms from RT and freedom from imminent death 162 due to cancer. If exposure risk was felt to be high or if RT was not indicated, then recommendations were 163 given based on data from medical records and imaging, without conducting an in-person evaluation. 164 However, if exposure risk was deemed to be low and RT was indicated, then an in-person evaluation was 165 conducted.

166

167 Management of Patients during RT

168 For patients with community risk of acquiring COVID-19 infection, the taskforce recommended 169 that treatment should proceed as indicated with PPE as described above. For known COVID-19 positive 170 patients, treatment was delayed until recovery from COVID-19 consistent with institutional protocol. For 171 PUI, treatment was delayed while awaiting test results, and was to resume if the test result returned 172 negative. However, exceptions were considered for patients with rapidly progressing, life-threatening 173 conditions where RT has benefit. The tracer team, which tracked all patients undergoing testing for 174 COVID-19, communicated daily with the treating physician and therapy teams to coordinate when 175 treatments should be delayed and resumed.

176

177

Treatment of COVID-19 Positive Patients

178 Known COVID-19 positive patients may require treatment with RT and thus, the development of 179 thoughtful instructions was needed to identify if RT can be performed. An oversight panel was created to 180 determine whether a COVID-19 positive patient would be appropriate for RT. A dedicated team of 181 volunteers, consisting of RTTs, nurses, and physicians, was formed to navigate RT in the setting of 182 COVID-19 positive patients. These volunteers were trained in appropriate PPE procedures. A specific 183 treatment machine was designated for COVID-19 positive patients and infection control protocols were 184 established. Given potential risks of exposure to other patients and clinic staff, the treatment of COVID-185 19 positive patients will be limited to those that can benefit from RT under rapidly progressing, life-186 threatening conditions. These patients will be considered for hypofractionation, in 1-5 fractions, 187 sequestered to a single treatment machine, and given RT at the end of business day so the room can be 188 terminally disinfected.

189

190 Communication

191 Institutional twice daily calls were attended by the senior leaders and relayed to the divisional 192 taskforce daily. Section leaders developed policies during daily calls and these were summarized on the 193 taskforce calls. Taskforce guidelines were conveyed to clinical faculty and staff on daily section virtual 194 huddles. In addition, regular institutional and divisional town halls were instituted by early April.

195

196 Conclusions

197	Response to COVID-19 spread in this large tertiary referral center included volume reduction,
198	evolving PPE recommendations, flexible clinic visit interaction types dictated by need and risk reduction,
199	and numerous social distancing strategies. Information was communicated to patients and the workforce
200	expediently and effectively, and a supportive environment was fostered for all. The guiding emphasis
201	underlying all policy changes was the use of evidence-based practices and discussion among clinical
202	experts before implementation. The COVID-19 pandemic is likely to influence oncologic management in
203	lasting ways. While the strategies provided here may evolve over time, we hope these outlined
204	considerations can assist the wider RO community as we collectively face this ongoing challenge.
205	
206	
207	
208	
209	
210	
211	
212	
213	
214	
215	
216	
217	
218	
219	
220	
221	
222	
223	

224 References:

- 1. Liang W, Guan W, Chen R, et al. Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis
- 226 in China. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020;21(3):335-337. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30096-6
- 227 2. Hartsell. RTOG 9714. Bone mets. 30Gy v 8Gy. JNCI. 2005. doi:10.1093/jnci/dji139
- 228 3. Chow R, Hoskin P, Schild SE, et al. Single vs multiple fraction palliative radiation therapy for bone
- 229 metastases: Cumulative meta-analysis. *Radiother Oncol.* 2019. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2019.06.037
- 4. Folkesson J, Birgisson H, Pahlman L, Cedermark B, Glimelius B, Gunnarsson U. Swedish rectal
- cancer trial: Long lasting benefits from radiotherapy on survival and local recurrence rate. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(24):5644-5650. doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.08.144
- 5. Kapiteijn E, Marijnen CAM, Nagtegaal ID, et al. Preoperative radiotherapy combined with total
 mesorectal excision for resectable rectal cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;345(9):638-646.
- 235 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa010580
- Sebag-Montefiore D, Stephens RJ, Steele R, et al. Preoperative radiotherapy versus selective
 postoperative chemoradiotherapy in patients with rectal cancer (MRC CR07 and NCIC-CTG
- 238 C016): a multicentre, randomised trial. *Lancet.* 2009. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60484-0
- 239 7. Bujko K, Nowacki MP, Nasierowska-Guttmejer A, Michalski W, Bebenek M, Kryj M. Long-term
- 240 results of a randomized trial comparing preoperative short-course radiotherapy with preoperative
- conventionally fractionated chemoradiation for rectal cancer. *Br J Surg*. 2006;93(10):1215-1223.
- 242 doi:10.1002/bjs.5506
- 8. Ngan SY, Burmeister B, Fisher RJ, et al. Randomized trial of short-course radiotherapy versus
- 244 long-course chemoradiation comparing rates of local recurrence in patients with T3 rectal cancer:
- 245 Trans-Tasman Radiation Oncology Group trial 01.04. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(31):3827-3833.
- 246 doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.42.9597
- 9. Olivotto IA, Lesperance ML, Trnong PT, et al. Intervals longer than 20 weeks from breast-
- 248 conserving surgery to radiation therapy are associated with inferior outcome for women with early-
- stage breast cancer who are not receiving chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009.
- 250 doi:10.1200/JCO.2008.18.1891
- 10. Hoskin PJ, Hopkins K, Misra V, et al. Effect of Single-Fraction vs Multifraction Radiotherapy on

- 252 Ambulatory Status among Patients with Spinal Canal Compression from Metastatic Cancer: The
- 253 SCORAD Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc.* 2019.

254 doi:10.1001/jama.2019.17913

- Borgelt B, Gelber R, Kramer S, et al. The palliation of brain metastases: Final results of the first
 two studies by the radiation therapy oncology group. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1980.

257 doi:10.1016/0360-3016(80)90195-9

- Borgelt B, Gelber R, Larson M, Hendrickson F, Griffin T, Roth R. Ultra-rapid high dose irradiation
 schedules for the palliation of brain metastases: Final results of the first two studies by the
 radiation therapy oncology group. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1981. doi:10.1016/0360-
- 261 3016(81)90184-X
- 13. Sundstrøm S, Bremnes R, Aasebø U, et al. Hypofractionated palliative radiotherapy (17 Gy per
- two fractions) in advanced non-small-cell lung carcinoma is comparable to standard fractionation
- for symptom control and survival: A national phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004.
- 265 doi:10.1200/JCO.2004.06.123
- 266 14. Senkus-Konefka E, Dziadziuszko R, Bednaruk-Młyński E, et al. A prospective, randomised study
- to compare two palliative radiotherapy schedules for non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Br J

268 *Cancer*. 2005. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602477

- 15. Spanos W, Guse C, Perez C, Grigsby P, Doggett RLS, Poulter C. Phase II study of multiple daily
- fractionations in the palliation of advanced pelvic malignancies: Preliminary report of RTOG 8502.
- 271 Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1989. doi:10.1016/0360-3016(89)90120-X
- 272 16. Corry J, Peters LJ, D'Costa I, et al. The "QUAD SHOT" A phase II study of palliative radiotherapy
 273 for incurable head and neck cancer. *Radiother Oncol.* 2005. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2005.10.008
- 17. Lok BH, Jiang G, Gutiontov S, et al. Palliative head and neck radiotherapy with the RTOG 8502
 regimen for incurable primary or metastatic cancers. *Oral Oncol.* 2015.
- 276 doi:10.1016/j.oraloncology.2015.07.011
- 277
- 278
- 279
- 280

Figure 1: Considerations and Strategies to Flatten the Curve during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Radiation Oncology

Reduce On-Treatment Patient Volume	 Evidence-based hypofractionated RT Deferment of RT when appropriate Planned delays for RT starts Redistribution of patients between main campus and regional locations
Social Distancing	 Visitors not allowed to accompany patients Teleconference meetings Work from home Non-overlapping RTT and clinic teams
Safety of Patients and Workforce	 Screening of patients and hospital staff at entry points All employees and patients required to wear-surgical mask Additional PPE[#] based on institutional and CDC guidelines Tracer team 14-day home quarantine for out-of-state patients
Outpatient Clinics	 Consultations that do not require immediate input deferred for 2 months Follow ups rescheduled by 2-6 months, unless immediate evaluation needed Weekly see visits using telemedicine platform
Inpatient Consultations	 Hypofractionated RT for oncologic emergencies and palliation Deferment of RT when appropriate Recommendations based on electronic medical review for COVID- 19 positive patients and PUI
Management of Patients during RT	 For patients with community risk of COVID-19 infection, RT proceeds For PUI, treatment delayed until patient tests negative.
Treatment of COVID-19 Positive Patients	 Specific treatment machine designated for COVID-19 positive patients PPE training of RTTs, nurses, and physicians delivering RT Oversight panel to determine if patient is appropriate for RT
Communication	 Virtual daily section huddles Institutional and divisional town halls

#: For example, consider additional PPE for RTTs such as goggles when at increased risk of particulate exposure from handling of head and neck immobilization devices (mask, stents, bite-blocks, etc.) Abbreviations: CDC (Center for Disease Control and Prevention); RTT (radiation therapist); PPE (personal protective equipment); RT (radiation therapy); PUI (persons under investigation)