

2020 Quality Payment Program Final Rule

Summary

On Friday, November 1, 2019, CMS issued the 2020 Quality Payment Program (QPP) final rule that includes updates to the current program and a new Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Value Pathways framework.

The QPP encompasses the MIPS and the Alternative Payment Model (APM) program, which were implemented in 2017 to replace the sustainable growth rate following the passage of the Medicare Access and Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act (MACRA) of 2015. It's important that radiation oncology practices understand key aspects of the QPP, which includes a complex system of increasing payment bonuses and penalties under Medicare. For general information on the QPP, go to www.astro.org/qpp.

MIPS

MIPS Scoring Methodology

For 2020, CMS is maintaining the 2019 MIPS performance category weights in response to concerns regarding the lack of detailed and actional performance feedback for the Cost category. The finalized weights follow:

- Quality 45 percent
- Improvement Activities 15 percent
- Promoting Interoperability 25 percent
- Cost 15 percent

CMS finalized an increase in the performance threshold from 30 to 45 points for the 2020 performance year, and 60 points for the 2021 performance year. The exceptional performance threshold is will increase to 85 points for the 2020 and 2021 performance years. CMS believes that this adjustment will raise the bar on exceptional performance and provide an appropriate financial incentive for high performers.

The payment adjustment for 2022 (based on 2020 performance) will range from -9 percent to +9 percent, plus any scaling to achieve budget neutrality, as required by law. Payment adjustments will be calculated based on professional services paid under the Medicare physician fee schedule (PFS), excluding Part B drugs.

Performance Category Reweighting

CMS continues to provide Promoting Interoperability hardship exemptions for the 2020 performance period. The Agency believes this is particularly important for small practices. The

2020 Quality Payment Program Final Rule ASTRO Summary Page 2 of 10

exemption re-weights the Promoting Interoperability category to zero, shifting an additional 25 percent to the Quality category.

CMS finalized its proposal to reweight performance categories in rare events due to compromised data outside the control of the MIPS eligible clinician. MIPS eligible clinicians or third-party intermediaries can inform CMS if they believe they are impacted by a relevant event by providing information on the event. If CMS determines that reweighting for compromised data is appropriate, the Agency will redistribute points to the Promoting Interoperability and Quality performance categories, and in rare instances, to the Cost performance category.

CMS continues to assign a zero percent weight for the Promoting Interoperability performance category for groups defined as hospital-based and non-patient facing, and redistribute the points associated with the Promoting Interoperability performance category to another performance category or categories. The Agency finalized its proposal for new definitions for hospital-based and non-patient facing groups. A group is now identified as hospital-based and eligible for the reweighting if more than 75 percent of the NPIs in the group meet the definition of a hospital-based individual MIPS-eligible clinician. For non-patient facing groups (more than 75 percent of the MIPS-eligible clinicians in the group are classified as non-patient facing), CMS will automatically reweight the Promoting Interoperability performance category.

Targeted Review

CMS finalized its proposal that beginning with the 2019 performance period, all requests for targeted review would be required to be submitted within 60 days of the release of the MIPS payment adjustment factor(s) with performance feedback.

Clinician Eligibility

The 2020 QPP final rule continues current MIPS eligibility requirements by assessing thresholds only against covered professional services paid under or based on the PFS. The eligibility thresholds continue to be set at greater than \$90,000 in covered professional services and 200 Medicare Part B beneficiaries, who are furnished covered professional services. The Agency continues to allow clinicians or groups to opt-in to MIPS, if they meet or exceed one or more criteria, but not all of the low-volume threshold criterion. Exceeding all criteria in the low volume threshold means that a physician or group will be included in the MIPS program for the 2020 performance year.

Clinicians choosing to opt-in are required to make an election via the Quality Payment Program portal by logging into their account and simply selecting either to opt-in or to remain excluded and voluntarily report. Those that remain excluded or voluntarily report will not receive a MIPS payment adjustment.

For the 2020 performance year, the Agency is finalizing its proposal to revise the definition of hospital-based MIPS eligible clinician to include groups and virtual groups. According to the

2020 Quality Payment Program Final Rule ASTRO Summary Page 3 of 10

final rule, a hospital-based MIPS eligible clinician means an individual clinician who furnishes 75 percent or more of his or her covered professional services in an inpatient hospital, on-campus outpatient hospital, off-campus outpatient hospital, or emergency room setting based on claims for the MIPS determination period. Additionally, a group or virtual group meet the definition of a MIPS eligible clinician provided that 75 percent or more of the NPIs billing under the group's TIN or virtual group's TINs meet the definition of hospital-based individual MIPS eligible clinician during the MIPS determination period. CMS also finalized revisions to account for a group or virtual group that meets the definition of a non-patient facing MIPS eligible clinician, such that the group or virtual group only has to meet a threshold of more than 75 percent of the NPIs billing under the group's TIN or virtual group or virtual group only has to meet a threshold of more than 75 percent of the NPIs billing under the group's TIN or virtual group or virtual group or virtual group or virtual group only has to meet a threshold of more than 75 percent of the NPIs billing under the group's TIN or virtual group's TINs.

CMS is maintaining the option for solo practitioners and groups with ten or fewer MIPS eligible clinicians to establish Virtual Groups. For all performance categories, the performance of individual members of the Virtual Group will be combined to determine the entire groups' performance. For the 2020 performance year, Virtual Groups must complete required contracting and notify CMS of their intention to become a Virtual Group by December 31, 2019.

CMS finalized its proposal to clarify the definition of facility-based clinician to state that a MIPS eligible clinician is facility-based if the clinician can be assigned to a facility with a value-based purchasing score for the applicable period.

Bonus Points

Complex Patients

CMS finalized its proposal to continue the application of five additional bonus points to the overall Composite Performance Score (CPS) for complex patients based on the combination of the dual eligibility¹ ratio and the average Hierarchical Conditions Category (HCC)² risk score.

Small Practice Bonus

CMS is retaining the small practice bonus of six points for the 2020 performance year to be applied to the 2022 payment year. The bonus will continue to be added to the Quality performance category, as it was in 2019, rather than in the MIPS final score calculation, as it was in 2018. To receive the bonus, a small practice must submit Quality data. This applies to individual clinicians, group practices, virtual groups, or MIPS APM entities that consist of 15 or fewer clinicians.

¹ "Dual eligible beneficiaries" is the general term that describes individuals who are enrolled in both Medicare and Medicaid. The term includes individuals who are enrolled in Medicare Part A and/or Part B and receive full Medicaid benefits and/or assistance with Medicare premiums or cost sharing through a "Medicare Savings Program" (MSP) category.

² Hierarchical Conditions Category (HCC) is a risk adjustment model using patient diagnoses and demographic information to predict medical spending.

2020 Quality Payment Program Final Rule ASTRO Summary Page 4 of 10

Quality Performance Category

The Agency is retaining the weight of the Quality category at 45 percent for the 2020 performance year. The reporting period for the Quality category will continue to be a full calendar year.

CMS finalized its proposal to increase the data completeness threshold from 60 to 70 percent of Medicare Part B patients for the 2020 performance year, regardless of payer, with a minimum of 20 cases per measure. CMS is also maintaining the 1-point floor for measures that do not meet data completeness requirements. This policy does not apply to small practices, who will continue to earn three points for submitting measures that do not meet data completeness.

CMS finalized its proposal to remove MIPS quality measures that do not meet case minimum and reporting volumes required for benchmarking after being in the program for two consecutive performance periods. The Agency believes that removing measures using this methodology ensures that the MIPS quality measures available in the program are truly meaningful.

Cost Performance Category

In the 2020 final rule, CMS did not finalize its proposal to increase the weight of the Cost category, instead the category will remain at the 2019 weight of 15 percent. By law, the category must be weighted at 30 percent in the 2022 performance year. The Cost category continues to require a full calendar year reporting period.

CMS finalized the addition of 10 newly developed episode-based cost measures, none of which apply to radiation oncology. Cost measures will continue to include Medicare Spending Per Beneficiary (MSPB) and total per capita cost (TPCC) for all attributed beneficiaries.

Total Per Capita Cost Measure

CMS finalized its proposal to modify the attribution methodology for TPCC by establishing service category and specialty exclusions. These exclusions will ensure that the TPCC measure is more accurately applied to clinicians who provide primary care services. Attributed episodes of care are excluded if they are performed by clinicians who (i) frequently perform non-primary care services (for example, global surgery, chemotherapy, anesthesia, radiation therapy) or (ii) are in specialties unlikely to be responsible for providing primary care to a beneficiary (for example, podiatry, dermatology, optometry, ophthalmology). While radiation therapy would be excluded from this measure, physician assistants and nurse practitioners who may provide services to patients receiving radiation therapy services are still included in the attribution methodology.

Medicare Spending Per Beneficiary Clinician

CMS finalized its proposal to rename the MSPB measure to "MSPB clinician" measure to distinguish it from measures with similar names in use in other CMS programs. The Agency also finalized its proposal to change the attribution methodology to distinguish between medical episodes and surgical episodes. Medical episodes are first attributed at the clinician group (TIN)

2020 Quality Payment Program Final Rule ASTRO Summary Page 5 of 10

level, and then at the clinician (TIN-NPI) level. A medical episode is attributed to the TIN, if the TIN bills at least 30 percent of the inpatient E/M services on Part B physician/supplier claims during the inpatient stay. Then the episode is attributed to a clinician in the TIN, who bills at least one inpatient E/M service out of the 30 percent or more of inpatient E/M services attributed to the TIN. For example, a surgical episode is attributed to the surgeon(s) who performed any related surgical procedure during the inpatient stay, as determined by clinical input, as well as to the TIN under which the surgeon(s) billed for the procedure. Unrelated services specific to groups of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGs) aggregated by Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) level are excluded.

Improvement Activities Performance Category

CMS is retaining the weight for Improvement Activities performance at 15 percent, based on a selection of medium and high weighted activities. The Agency is also retaining the 90-day minimum performance period, as well as the simple attestation reporting requirement. CMS finalized its proposal to increase the group and virtual group reporting threshold from at least one clinician to at least 50 percent of the group beginning with the 2020 performance year. The Agency also finalized its proposal that at least 50 percent of a group's NPIs must perform the same activity for the same continuous 90-day period in the performance year, beginning with the 2020 performance year.

CMS finalized the addition of two new Improvement Activities, the modification of seven existing activities and removal of 15 activities.

The new Improvement Activities include:

- Drug Cost Transparency
- Tracking of clinician's relationship to and responsibility for a patient by reporting MACRA patient relationship codes

The modified activities include:

- Completion of an Accredited Safety or Quality Improvement Program
- Anticoagulant Management Improvements
- Additional improvements in access as a result of Quality Innovation Network/Quality Improvement Organization Technical Assistance
- Implementation of formal quality improvement methods, practice changes, or other practice improvement processes
- Participation in a Qualified Clinical Data Registry (QCDR), that promotes use of patient engagement tools
- Use of QCDR data for ongoing practice assessment and improvements
- Completion of Collaborative Care Management Training Program

The activities finalized for removal include:

2020 Quality Payment Program Final Rule ASTRO Summary Page 6 of 10

- Participation in Systematic Anticoagulation Program
- Implementation of additional activity as a result of technical assistance TA for improving care coordination
- Participation in Quality Improvement Initiatives
- Annual Registration in the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program
- Initiate CDC Training on Antibiotic Stewardship
- Unhealthy alcohol use
- Participation in a QCDR, that promotes use of processes and tools that engage patients for adherence to treatment plan
- Use of QCDR to support clinical decision making
- Use of QCDR patient experience data to inform and advance improvements in beneficiary engagement
- Participation in a QCDR, that promotes implementation of patient self-action plans
- Use of QCDR to promote standard practices, tools and processes in practice for improvement in care coordination
- Leveraging a QCDR for use of standard questionnaires
- Leveraging a QCDR to standardize processes for screening
- Use of QCDR data for quality improvement such as comparative analysis reports across patient populations
- TCPI Participation

CMS finalized the following criteria for removal of improvement activities:

- It is duplicative of another activity
- An alternative activity exists with stronger relationship to quality care or improvements in clinical practice
- The activity does not align with current clinical guidelines or practice
- The activity does not align with at least one meaningful measures area
- The activity does not align with Quality, Cost, or Promoting Interoperability performance categories
- There have been no attestations of the activity for threeconsecutive years
- The activity is obsolete

Promoting Interoperability (PI) Performance Category

The Agency is retaining both the 25 percent weight for the PI category and the 90-day minimum performance period for 2020. Additionally, CMS is continuing the requirement that eligible clinicians use 2015 Edition CEHRT for 2020.

For the 2021 performance year, CMS finalized its proposal to continue the PI performance period of a minimum of a continuous 90-day period within the calendar year that occurs 2 years prior to the applicable MIPS payment year, up to and including the full calendar year. The

2020 Quality Payment Program Final Rule ASTRO Summary Page 7 of 10

Agency believes this is an appropriate performance period because of the maturation needed within the performance category. In addition, it would offer stability and continuity for the PI performance category after the performance category overhaul that was finalized in the 2019 final rule.

The Agency finalized the establishment of a yes/no response for the Query of Prescription Drug Monitoring Program for the current (2019) performance year, instead of a numerator and denominator. For the 2020 performance year, the Agency will keep this measure as optional. Additionally, CMS will remove the Verify Opioid Treatment Agreement measure beginning in the 2020 performance period.

For the 2019 performance year, CMS will redistribute the Support Electronic Referral Loops by Sending Health Information to the Provide Patients Access to Their Health Information measure, if an exclusion is claimed.

Qualified Clinical Data Registry (QCDR)

CMS finalized its proposal that beginning in the 2021 performance period, QCDRs will be required to submit data for the Quality, Improvement Activities, and Promoting Interoperability performance categories. The Agency also finalized its proposal that beginning in the 2021 performance period, feedback reports include information on how participants compare to other clinicians within the QCDR cohort who have submitted data on a given measure. QCDRs will be required to attest during the self-nomination process that they can provide performance feedback at least four times a year. In instances where the QCDR does not receive data from their clinician until the end of the performance period, the QCDR could be exempted from this requirement.

CMS finalized its proposal that beginning in the 2020 performance period, in instances in which multiple, similar QCDR measures exist that warrant approval, the Agency may provisionally approve the individual QCDR measures for one-year with the condition that QCDRs address certain areas of duplication with other approved QCDR measures in order to be considered for the program in subsequent years. Duplicative QCDR measures will not be approved if QCDRs do not elect to harmonize identified measures as requested by CMS within the allotted timeframe.

CMS finalized its proposal that beginning in the 2021 performance period, at the time of selfnomination, QCDRs must identify a linkage between their QCDR measures to the following: Cost Measure, Improvement Activity, or CMS-developed MIPS Value Pathways (MVPs) (see section on MVP below), as feasible. QCDR measures will be required to be fully developed with completed testing results at the clinician level and must be ready for implementation at the time of self-nomination. QCDRs will also be required to collect data on a QCDR measure, appropriate to the measure type, prior to submitting the measure for CMS consideration during the selfnomination period. CMS may consider the extent to which a QCDR measure is available to MIPS eligible clinicians reporting through QCDRs other than the QCDR measure owner for 2020 Quality Payment Program Final Rule ASTRO Summary Page 8 of 10

purposes of MIPS. If CMS determines that a QCDR measure is not available to MIPS eligible clinicians, groups, and virtual groups reporting through other QCDRs, CMS may not approve the measure. CMS finalized that a QCDR measure that does not meet case minimum and reporting volumes required for benchmarking after being in the program for two consecutive performance years, may not be approved in the future.

MIPS Value Pathways (MVP)

CMS finalized a modified proposal to define MIPS Value Pathways (MVP) as a subset of measures and activities established through rulemaking beginning with the 2021 performance year for some groups. CMS is committed to working with stakeholders to develop this new framework to align with the goal of moving away from siloed performance category activities and measures and moving toward a set of measure options more relevant to a clinician's scope of practice that is meaningful to patient care. The MVP framework aims to align and connect measures and activities across the Quality, Cost, Promoting Interoperability, and Improvement Activities performance categories of MIPS for different specialties or conditions.

In addition, the MVP framework incorporates a foundation that leverages Promoting Interoperability measures and plans to incorporate administrative claims-based quality measures that focus on population health/public health priorities and reduce reporting requirements. CMS believes this combination of administrative claims-based measures and specialty/condition specific measures will streamline MIPS reporting, reduce complexity and burden.

Another key component of the MVP framework is that CMS will provide enhanced data and feedback to clinicians. The Agency intends to analyze existing Medicare information so that it can provide clinicians and patients with more information to improve health outcomes. CMS believes the MVPs framework will help to simplify MIPS, create a more cohesive and meaningful participation experience, improve value, reduce clinician burden, and better align with APMs to help ease transition between the two tracks. In addition to achieving better health outcomes and lowering costs for patients, CMS anticipates that these MVPs will result in comparable performance data that helps patients make more informed health care decisions.

The Agency recognizes that this will be a significant shift in the way clinicians participate in MIPS; therefore, the Agency will work closely with clinicians, patients, specialty societies, third parties and others to establish the MVPs.

Alternative Payment Models (APMs)

RO Model

The 2019 QPP final rule did not contain any additional information regarding the proposed radiation oncology alternative payment model (RO Model) that was issued as a part of Medicare Program; Specialty Care Models To Improve Quality of Care and Reduce Expenditures proposed rule that was issued on July 10th. For information about the proposed RO Model please see ASTRO's <u>comments</u> in response to the proposed rule.

2020 Quality Payment Program Final Rule ASTRO Summary Page 9 of 10

Other Payer Advanced APMs

In the 2020 QPP, CMS finalized modifications to the Agency's definition of marginal risk to address fluctuations in risk associated with risk-based APMs for the purposes of designating other payer models as Advanced APMs. When a payment arrangement's marginal risk rate varies depending on the amount by which actual expenditures exceed expected expenditures, the Agency will use the average marginal risk rate across all possible levels of actual expenditures. This average marginal risk rate will be compared to the marginal risk rate to determine whether the payment arrangement has a marginal risk rate of at least 30 percent, as required by MACRA. The Agency will establish exceptions for large losses and small losses as provided in CMS regulations.

Additionally, CMS is proposing that beginning in the 2020 an eligible clinician will not be deemed a Qualified APM Participant (QP) Performance Period or Partial QP if the APM Entity voluntarily or involuntarily terminates their Advanced APM contract before the end of the performance period or if the APM Entity no longer bears financial risk. The proposed also clarifies that Partial QP status only applies to the TIN/NPI combination(s) through which an eligible clinician attains QP status.

MIPS APMs

In the 2017, CMS established the following requirements for MIPS APMs: 1) APM entities participate in an APM under an agreement with CMS or by law or regulation; 2) the APM requires that the APM Entities include at least one MIPS eligible clinician on a Participation List; and 3) the APM bases payment incentives on performance (either at the APM entity or eligible clinician level) on cost/utilization and quality measures.

Stakeholder feedback on the established criteria indicated that there is some confusion regarding the intent of the third criterion. In the 2020 final rule, CMS modified the criterion to specify that a MIPS APM must be designed in such a way that participating APM Entities are incented to reduce costs of care or utilization of services, or both. According to the Agency, this makes it clear that a MIPS APM could take into account performance in terms of cost/utilization using model design features other than the direct use of cost/utilization measures.

Additionally, the Agency establishes that for MIPS APMs where quality data is unavailable through the APM model, MIPS eligible clinicians will be scored under the APM scoring standard and receive a score in the Quality performance category based on Quality data submitted by the APM Entity, individual, or TIN. CMS will apply a 50 percent credit to the quality category for MIPS APMs that are unable to receive a quality score through the model.

For a fact sheet on the 2020 Quality Payment Program final rule, please visit: <u>https://qpp.cms.gov/about/resource-library</u>

To view the 2020 Quality Payment Program final rule, please visit: <u>https://s3.amazonaws.com/public-inspection.federalregister.gov/2019-24086.pdf</u>

For ASTRO resources, please visit: <u>https://www.astro.org/qpp/</u>